## Hedging stock market, inflation and exchange rate risks by precious metals

Tanzeela Yaqoob<sup>1</sup>, Dr Javed Iqbal<sup>2</sup> and Dr Mudassiruddin<sup>3</sup>

Department of Statistics, University of Karachi<sup>1,3</sup>

Institute of Buisness Administration, Karachi<sup>2</sup>



- This paper investigates the hedging ability and safe haven properties of precious metals i.e. gold, silver and platinum against the adverse movement of stock prices, exchange rate and inflation.
- It also investigates whether this hedging ability is limited to normal conditions of the precious metal markets or they could be used to hedge risks in extreme conditions as well.



• This study explore the investment implications of precious metals by extending the work of Baur and Lucey (2010) and Baur and McDermott (2010) in numerous ways;

1) We investigate the hedging potential of gold, silver and platinum with respect to financial asset prices and commodities price inflation for the US, UK and China markets.

2) The analysis extends to investigate whether hedging potential of precious metals investment differs in different bullish and bearish conditions of metal markets.

• The regression approach of Baur and Lucey (2010) and Baur and McDermott (2010) consider only extreme conditions of the markets against which gold is to serve as hedge

We incorporate the hedging potential of various bearish and bullish conditions of gold, silver and platinum markets themselves by adopting the framework of quantile regression proposed by Iqbal (2016)

### Countries

- In this study we consider the mature markets of the US and UK along with emerging market of China
- The US holds the largest over the ground reserve in the form of central banking reserve.
- China has recently replaced India as the largest consumer of gold as reported by the World Gold Council.
- London is the largest market for gold in the world.
- New York is the main exchange-traded future market for gold.

## Data and their sources

- We used daily data on metal prices, stock market indexes and consumer price indexes for the US, the UK and China.
- We collected data on gold, silver and platinum prices expressed in US dollar obtained from DataStream. To determine metal prices in the UK and China we multiplied dollar designated metal prices by their local currencies at the exchange rate prevailing on close of that day.
- Daily stock prices and exchange rate for the US, the UK and China along with the metal prices are taken from January 19, 1990 to January 19, 2015. Monthly inflation rate extends from January 1990 to Dec 2014.
- We used S&P500 Index, FTSE Index and Shanghai A-share Index to represent the US, the UK and China stock markets respectively.
- Monthly consumer price index and daily exchange rate data obtained from International Financial Statistics (IFS, IMF, and Washington DC), and stock index data were obtained from DataStream/Yahoo Finance

## Econometric Methodology

- To investigate the hedge and safe haven property of precious metals we extend the econometric model of Baur and Lucey (2010) by specifying the models for stock, inflation and exchange rate.
- The following model is estimated to study the role of gold, silver and platinum in times of stress or extreme stock market decline of three selected countries.

$$\begin{aligned} R_p &= c + \beta_t R_{stock} + \mu_t, \mu_t \sim (o, h_t) \\ \beta_t &= \pi_0 + \pi_1 D \left( R_{stockq10} \right) + \pi_2 D \left( R_{stockq5} \right) + \pi_3 D \left( R_{stockq1} \right) \\ \log(h_t) &= \rho_0 + \rho_1 \log(h_{t-1}) + \rho_2 \left| \frac{\mu_{t-1}}{\sqrt{h_{t-1}}} \right| + \rho_3 \frac{\mu_{t-1}}{\sqrt{h_{t-1}}} \end{aligned}$$



If  $\pi_0$  is negative and significant than precious metals behaves as a hedge against stock market.

To access the safe haven characteristic of gold, silver and platinum against stock the sum of parameters  $\pi_1$ ,  $\pi_2$  and  $\pi_3$  at the 1%, 5 % and 10% quantileshave analyzed through standard Wald F-Statistics.

Metals can be viewed as a safe haven against the stock if the sum of  $\pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_2$  and  $\pi_3$  is less than or equal to zero i.e. when the market returns are in the lowest 1% and the three metals possess negative relation with the stock market returns.

Similarly the sum of  $\pi_0$  and  $\pi_2$  point out the haven property at 5% quantile while the sum of  $\pi_0$  and  $\pi_1$  shows that precious metals keep safe haven property at 10% quantile respectively.

• To identify that whether three precious metals under studied can act as hedge or safe haven against the exchange rate risk we estimate the following econometrics model.

$$\begin{split} R_{p} &= c + \beta_{t} R_{exhange rate} + \mu_{t} \\ \beta_{t} &= \varphi_{0} + \varphi_{1} D \left( R_{exhange rate,q90} \right) + \varphi_{2} D \left( R_{exhange rate,q95} \right) + \varphi_{3} D \left( R_{exhange rate,q99} \right) \\ \log(h_{t}) &= \delta_{0} + \delta_{1} \log(h_{t-1}) + \delta_{2} \left| \frac{\mu_{t-1}}{\sqrt{h_{t-1}}} \right| + \delta_{3} \frac{\mu_{t-1}}{\sqrt{h_{t-1}}} \\ \text{If } \varphi_{0} \text{ is positive and significant. If sum of } \varphi_{0}, \varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2} \text{ and } \varphi_{3} \text{ is greater than or equal to zero than the} \end{split}$$

three precious metals act as a safe haven asset against extreme movement of currency i.e. at 99% quantile.

• The assessment of inflation hedging potential of gold, silver and platinum is carried out by estimating the following extended version of Baur and Lucey (2010) model.

$$R_p = c + \beta_t R_{inflation\,rate} + \mu_t$$

$$\beta_{t} = \alpha_{0} + \alpha_{1} D \left( R_{inflation\,rate,q90} \right) + \alpha_{2} D \left( R_{inflation\,rate,q95} \right) + \alpha_{3} D \left( R_{inflation\,rate,q99} \right)$$
$$\log(h_{t}) = \gamma_{0} + \gamma_{1} \log(h_{t-1}) + \gamma_{2} \left| \frac{\mu_{t-1}}{\sqrt{h_{t-1}}} \right| + \gamma_{3} \frac{\mu_{t-1}}{\sqrt{h_{t-1}}}$$

The metals can serve as hedge against inflation rate if  $\alpha_0$  is positive and significant.

If sum of  $\alpha_0$ ,  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$  and  $\alpha_3$  is greater than or equal to zero than precious metals act as a safe haven asset against high inflation.

## Quantile Regression

- To assess sensitivity of the hedging potential of three selected precious metals to various quantiles of the distribution of the precious metal distributions we employ the quantile regression approach proposed by Iqbal (2016).
- Fin et al. (2009) reported that the usual regression analysis cannot capture the upper and lower quantiles of distributions adequately. Thus the semi parametric quantile regression approach is very suitable in testing the hedging ability of gold, silver and platinum and whether this ability of gold, silver and platinum is limited to their average conditions or they serve hedging in extreme conditions of these markets as well. Quantile regression is an extension of classical least squares estimation formally introduced by Koenker and Basset (1978) and is especially relevant for modeling dependent variables that are fat tailed.

• Under various bearish and bullish conditions of precious metals we assess their hedge and safe haven property against the extreme movements of equity markets, against the depreciation of currency risk and inflation-hedging ability. For this purpose we formulate the following models;

$$Q_{\rho}(Y|x) = \beta_{0(\rho)} + \beta_{1(\rho)}R_{stock} + \beta_{2(\rho)}R_{stock}D(R_{stockq10}) + \beta_{3(\rho)}R_{stock}D(R_{stockq5}) + \beta_{4(\rho)}R_{stock}D(R_{stockq1}) + \mu_t$$

$$(13)$$

$$Q_{\rho}(Y|x) = \beta_{0(\rho)} + \beta_{1(\rho)}R_{exchange rate} + \beta_{2(\rho)}R_{exchange rate}D(R_{exchange rateq90}) + \beta_{3(\rho)}R_{exchange rate}D(R_{exchange rateq95}) + \beta_{4(\rho)}R_{exchange rate}D(R_{exchange rateq99}) + \mu_t$$
(14)

$$Q_{\rho}(Y|x) = \beta_{0(\rho)} + \beta_{1(\rho)}R_{inflation\,rate} + \beta_{2(\rho)}R_{inflation\,rate} D(R_{inflation\,rateq90}) + \beta_{3(\rho)}R_{inflation\,rate} D(R_{inflation\,rateq95}) + \beta_{4(\rho)}R_{inflation\,rate} D(R_{inflation\,rateq99}) + \mu_t$$
(15)

# Empirical results of Baur and Lucey model

Table 5.1: Estimates of relationship between gold returns (%) and stock market (%) for the US, the UK and China

		US			UK		China		
Gold Return	Coef	SE	t-stat pvalue	Coef	SE	t-stat pvalue	Coef	SE	t-stat pvalue
	-0.043	0.010	-4.261**	-0.115	0.014	-7.829**	-0.001	0.004	-0.416
$\pi_0$ (hedge)			0.000			0.000			0.677
	-0.019	0.025	-0.776	-0.120	0.036	-3.332**	0.042	0.014	2.974
$\sum \pi_1(10\%)$			0.218			0.000			0.998
	-0.023	0.018	-1.271	-0.067	0.025	-2.625**	0.009	0.008	1.144
$\sum \pi_2(5\%)$			0.101			0.004			0.873
	-0.064	0.023	-2.696**	-0.062	0.031	-1.949**	0.002	0.007	0.391
$\sum \pi_{3}(1\%)$			0.003			0.025			0.652

Gold acting as a hedge for the USA and UK but not for China

For USA gold act as a safe haven asset only when the stock return falls below 1% quantile of stock market

In case of the UK the sum of coefficients are negative and significant for 10%, 5% and 1% quantile of stock returns which shows that when the stock market of the UK falls below of the specified quantile gold acts as safe haven to safeguard investors.

Table 5.2: Estimates of relationship between silver returns (%) and stock market (%) for the US, the UK and China

		US	_	_	UK		China			
Silver Return	Coef	SE	t-stat pvalue	Coef	SE	t-stat pvalue	Coef	SE	t-stat pvalue	
			-2.712**			-3.737**			-0.033	
$\pi_0(\text{hedge})$	-0.048	0.017	0.006	-0.081	0.021	0.000	-0.000	0.009	0.973	
			0.349			-1.303*			1.636	
$\sum \pi_1(10\%)$	0.014	0.041	0.636	-0.068	0.052	0.096	0.048	0.029	0.949	
			0.851			1.468			0.580	
$\sum \pi_2(5\%)$	0.024	0.029	0.802	0.055	0.037	0.929	0.010	0.017	0.719	
			2.070			1.287			0.922	
$\sum \pi_3(1\%)$	0.075	0.036	0.980	0.056	0.044	0.901	0.012	0.014	0.821	

Silver appears to act as hedge for the USA and the UK

Safe haven property of Silver is only observed for the UK when its stock market falls below 10% quantile

Table 5.3: Estimates of relationship between platinum returns (%) and stock market (%) for the US, the UK and China

_		US			UK		China			
Return	Coef	SE	t-stat pvalue	Coef	SE	t-stat pvalue	Coef	SE	t-stat pvalue	
			3.066**			-0.949			1.306	
$\pi_0$ (hedge)	0.048	0.015	0.002	-0.017	0.018	0.342	0.007	0.005	0.191	
			0.907			0.707			2.656	
$\sum \pi_1(10\%)$	0.034	0.037	0.817	0.032	0.045	0.760	0.061	0.023	0.996	
			-0.216			1.119			0.080	
$\sum \pi_2(5\%)$	-0.006	0.029	0.414	0.037	0.033	0.868	0.001	0.013	0.532	
			2.420			1.970			0.879	
$\sum \pi_{3}(1\%)$	0.078	0.032	0.992	0.078	0.039	0.975	0.010	0.012	0.810	

Platinum hedges the stock market risk of the USA

Table 5.4: Estimates of relationship between gold returns (%) and inflation rate (%) for the US, the UK and China

		US			UK	-	China		
gold return	Coef	SE	t-stat pvalue	Coef	SE	t-stat pvalue	Coef	SE	t-stat pvalue
			1.851*			-0.678			1.849
$\alpha_0(\text{hedge})$	1.385	0.748	0.0642	-0.607	0.894	0.497	0.772	0.417	0.064
			-0.080			-0.213			0.093
$\sum \alpha_1(90\%)$	-0.141	1.760	0.532	-0.379	1.775	0.584	0.086	0.923	0.462
			2.1348**			0.503			-1.588
$\sum \alpha_2(95\%)$	3.783	1.772	0.016	0.876	1.742	0.3074	-1.194	0.752	0.9433
			0.8313			-0.943			-0.371
$\sum \alpha_3(99\%)$	2.423	2.915	0.203	-1.762	1.869	0.827	-0.550	1.482	0.6447

USA has significantly positive hedge coefficient and gold appears to be a safe haven asset against inflation in the USA as gold market progresses in high inflationary circumstances particularly when inflation rate is more than 90% quantile.

The insignificant coefficients for the UK show the negligible relationship between gold and inflation.

For China gold does not appear to play the role of hedge and safe haven against inflation.

Table 5.5: Estimates of relationship between silver returns (%) and inflation rate (%) for the US,

the UK	and	China
--------	-----	-------

		US			UK		China		
silver return	Coef	SE	t-stat pvalue	Coef	SE	t-stat pvalue	Coef	SE	t-stat pvalue
			1.315			-1.181			1.000
$\alpha_0$ (hedge)	1.788	1.358	0.188	-1.734	1.467	0.237	0.643	0.643	0.317
			-1.648			0.592			0.290
$\sum \alpha_1(90\%)$	-3.753	2.276	0.949	1.699	2.868	0.276	0.523	1.801	0.385
			-0.844			-0.086			0.455
$\sum \alpha_2(95\%)$	-2.180	2.580	0.800	-0.149	1.718	0.534	0.541	1.189	0.324
			1.0408			0.024			2.254
$\sum \alpha_3(99\%)$	6.514	6.259	0.149	0.070	2.919	0.490	4.568	2.025	0.012

Silver neither hedge nor safe haven the USA and the UK while for china platinum shines when the inflation in china is more than its 95% quantile.

Table 5.6: Estimates of relationship between platinum returns (%) and inflation rate (%) for the US, the UK and China

1.0		US			UK		China		
platinum returns	urns Coef		t-stat pvalue	Coef	SE	t-stat pvalue	Coef	SE	t-stat pvalue
			2.852**			1.219			2.529
$\alpha_0$ (hedge)	3.452	1.210	0.004	1.254	1.028	0.222	1.492	0.589	0.011
			0.832			2.929			0.650
$\sum \alpha_1(90\%)$	1.568	1.883	0.202	6.688	2.282	0.001	0.760	1.168	0.257
			2.508**			-0.902			0.241
$\sum \alpha_2(95\%)$	4.141	1.650	0.006	-1.667	1.846	0.816	0.238	0.988	0.404
			0.169			-0.908			0.070
$\sum \alpha_3(99\%)$	0.907	5.356	0.432	-1.981	2.180	0.818	0.304	4.333	0.472

The safe haven property holds when inflation is increasing at its 90% and 95% quantile for the USA and the UK economy, while for China platinum don't save the investors in high inflation period

Table 5.7: Estimates of relationship between gold returns (%) and exchange rate (%) for the UK and China

		UK		CHINA				
gold Return	Coef	SE	t-stat pvalue	Coef	SE	t-stat pvalue		
			73.190**			4.511**		
$\varphi_0(\text{hedge})$	1.208	0.016	0.000	0.606	0.134	0.000		
			30.084**			2.642**		
$\sum \varphi_1(90\%)$	1.288	0.042	0.000	0.775	0.293	0.004		
			39.522**			2.222**		
$\sum \varphi_2(95\%)$	1.277	0.032	0.000	0.773	0.34	0.013		
			34.746**			25.563**		
$\sum \varphi_3(99\%)$	1.213	0.034	0.000	1.016	0.039	0.000		

Table 5.8 Estimates of relationship between silver returns (%) and exchange rate (%) for the UK and China

		UK	-	CHINA				
silver Return	Coef	SE	t-stat pvalue	Coef	SE	t-stat pvalue		
			44.781**			1.949*		
$\varphi_0(\text{hedge})$	1.375	0.030	0.000	0.521	0.267	0.051		
			17.410**			2.304**		
$\sum \varphi_1(90\%)$	1.417	0.081	0.000	1.223	0.530	0.010		
			23.317**			2.071**		
$\sum \varphi_2(95\%)$	1.439	0.061	0.000	1.290	0.623	0.019		
			14.951**			19.247**		
$\sum \varphi_{3}(99\%)$	1.130	0.075	0.000	1.009	0.052	0.000		

Table 5.9Estimates of relationship between platinum returns (%) and exchange rate (%) for the UK and China

		UK		CHINA				
Return	Coef	SE	t-stat pvalue	Coef	SE	t-stat pvalue		
			45.928**			3.039**		
$\varphi_0(\text{hedge})$	1.224	0.026	0.000	0.235	0.077	0.002		
			17.597**			-1.562		
$\sum \varphi_1(90\%)$	1.212	0.068	0.000	-0.568	0.363	0.940		
			21.782**			-1.441		
$\sum \varphi_2(95\%)$	1.230	0.056	0.000	-0.645	0.447	0.925		
			19.188**			6.766**		
$\sum \varphi_{3}(99\%)$	1.253	0.065	0.000	0.995	0.147	0.000		

Gold, silver and platinum hedge UK and China in depreciation of their local currency

For UK three metals shine and acts as safe haven, for China except platinum both metals possess the property of safe haven in all conditions of exchange rate of Chinese Yuan

## Empirical results of Quantile

### Regression

Table 5.10: Quantile regression of gold vs stock for the US

Gold	Baur	& Lucey		Quantile Regression										
					10th		2	25th		50 <sup>th</sup>	75th		90th	
	Coef	t-stat pvalue	Coef	t-stat pvalue	Coef	t-stat pvalue	Coef	t-stat pvalue	Coef	t-stat pvalue	Coef	t-stat pvalue		
B. (hedge)	-0.043	-4.261** 0.000	-0.142	-4.237**	-0.084	-5.086**	-0.014	-1.272	-0.006	-0.306	0.100	3.852**		
$\sum \beta_2(10\%)$	-0.019	-0.776 0.218	0.271	2.820 0.997	0.083	1.218 0.888	-0.041	-1.335 0.090	-0.135	-3.306** 0.000	-0.139	-1.951** 0.025		
$\sum \beta_3(5\%)$	-0.023	-1.271 0.101	0.131	2.303 0.989	0.043	0.909 0.818	-0.040	-1.871 0.031	-0.114	-2.903** 0.001	-0.139	-2.245 0.012		
5 0 (10/)	-0.064	-2.696**	0.384	7.101	0.176	8.982	-0.095	-3.443**	-0.198	-3.903**	-0.385	-11.472*		

Table 5.13: Quantile regression of gold vs stock for the UK

Gold	Baur	& Lucey		Quantile Regression								
				10 <sup>th</sup>	25 <sup>th</sup>		50th		75th		90th	
	Coef	t-stat pvalue	Coef	t-stat pvalue	Coef	t-stat pvalue	Coef	t-stat pvalue	Coef	t-stat pvalue	Coef	t-stat pvalue
		-7.829**		-4.595**		-5.349**		-3.393**		-0.678		2.619**
$\beta_1$ (hedge)	-0.115	0.000	-0.157	0.000	-0.129	0.000	-0.068	0.000	-0.018	0.497	0.101	0.008
		-3.332**		2.248		0.551		-1.537		-2.642**		-2.825**
$\sum \beta_2(10\%)$	-0.120	0.000	0.235	0.987	0.033	0.709	-0.072	0.062	-0.191	0.004	-0.218	0.002
		-2.625**		5.470		2.030		-1.277		-4.241**		-4.370**
$\sum \beta_3(5\%)$	-0.067	0.004	0.394	1.000	0.123	0.978	-0.053	0.100	-0.179	0.000	-0.365	0.000
		-1.949**		5.311		1.368		-0.204		-5.055**		-5.692**
$\sum \beta_4(1\%)$	-0.062	0.025	0.511	1.000	0.114	0.914	-0.016	0.418	-0.214	0.000	-0.237	0.000

fppt.com

#### Table 5.16: Quantile regression of gold vs stock for China

Gold	Baurð	Lucey					Quantil	e Regression	1				
				10th		25th		50th		75 <sup>th</sup>		90th	
	Coef	t-stat pvalue	Coef	t-stat pvalue	Coef	t-stat pvalue	Coef	t-stat pvalue	Coef	t-stat pvalue	Coef	t-stat pvalue	
		-0.416		0.594		1.760		-0.849		-4.047**		-10.633**	
$\beta_1$ (hedge)	-0.001	0.677	0.014	0.551	0.005	0.078	-0.001	0.395	-0.008	0.000	-0.016	0.000	
		2.974		4.929		4.342		1.801		2.951		2.810	
$\sum \beta_2(10\%)$	0.042	0.998	0.214	0.999	0.087	0.999	0.031	0.964	0.071	0.998	0.132	0.997	
		1.144		1.119		0.615		0.205		0.028		0.386	
$\sum \beta_3(5\%)$	0.009	0.873	0.055	0.868	0.012	0.730	0.001	0.581	0.000	0.511	0.007	0.650	
		0.391		-4.348**		-0.521		-0.565		2.515		1.638	
$\sum \beta_4(1\%)$	0.002	0.652	-0.032	0.000	-0.007	0.301	-0.002	0.285	0.020	0.994	0.043	0.9493	

Table 5.20: Quantile regression of silver vs inflation rate for the US

Silver	Baur&	Lucey	Quantile Regression										
			1	.0 <sup>th</sup>	2	5th	50th		75 <sup>th</sup>		90th		
	Coef	t-stat pvalue	Coef	t-stat pvalue	Coef	t-stat pvalue	Coef	t-stat pvalue	Coef	t-stat pvalue	Coef	t-stat pvalue	
	1.788	1.315	10.146	3.757**	8.9455	2.712**	2.298	0.681	0.304	0.238	2.836	2.545**	
$\beta_1$ (hedge)		0.1882		0.000		0.007		0.495		0.811		0.011	
	-3.753	-1.648	0.004	0.001	-1.885	-0.595	-4.657	-1.338	-1.544	0.209	8.668	0.801	
$\sum \beta_2(90\%)$		0.949		0.499		0.724		0.909		0.582		0.211	
	-2.180	-0.844	-3.853	-1.353	-4.674	-1.022	-1.160	-0.416	-6.735	-2.638	-0.749	-0.082	
$\sum \beta_3(95\%)$		0.800		0.911		0.846		0.661		0.995		0.532	
	6.514	1.0408	11.830	3.503**	8.576	1.705**	8.838	2.818**	5.145	2.169	0.100	0.063	
$\sum \beta_4(99\%)$		0.1494		0.000		0.044		0.002		0.015		0.474	

\*\*\*, \*\*, \* indicate statistical significance at the level of 0.01, 0.05 and 0.1 respectively

#### Table 5.23: Quantile regression of silver vs inflation for the UK

Silver	Baur&	Lucey		Quantile Regression									
				10 <sup>th</sup>	1	25th	50	th	7:	5 <sup>th</sup>	9	90th	
	Coef	t-stat pvalue	Coef	t-stat pvalue	Coef	t-stat pvalue	Coef	t-stat pvalue	Coef	t-stat pvalue	Coef	t-stat pvalue	
		-1.181		-4.465**		-2.823**		-1.297		-0.154		0.357	
$\beta_1$ (hedge)	-1.734	0.237	-7.235	0.000	-4.209	0.005	-1.823	0.195	-0.312	0.877	0.977	0.721	
		0.592		-3.829		-0.834		0.811		1.496		1.873**	
$\sum \beta_2(90\%)$	1.699	0.276	-36.66	0.999	-9.088	0.797	3.420	0.208	10.246	0.067	8.238	0.030	
		-0.086		-0.748		-0.269		0.872		0.098		0.953	
$\sum \beta_3(95\%)$	-0.149	0.534	-2.385	0.772	-0.953	0.606	3.047	0.191	0.341	0.460	4.769	0.170	
		0.024		3.261**		1.372*		-0.003		-2.073		-5.098	
$\sum \beta_4(99\%)$	0.070	0.490	2.107	0.000	1.142	0.085	-0.003	0.501	-1.745	0.980	-3.510	1.000	

Table 5.26: Quantile regression of silver vs inflation for China

silver	Baurð	& Lucey			Quantile Regression									
			10 <sup>th</sup>		25th		50th		75 <sup>th</sup>		90th			
	Coef	t-stat pvalue	Coef	t-stat pvalue	Coef	t-stat pvalue	Coef	t-stat pvalue	Coef	t-stat pvalue	Coef	t-stat pvalue		
		1.000		-0.039		-0.306		0.583		1.168		4.066**		
$\beta_1$ (hedge)	0.643	0.317	-0.059	0.968	-0.282	0.759	0.533	0.560	1.197	0.243	3.005	0.000		
		0.290		-0.013		-0.139		-0.369		-0.292		0.202		
$\sum \beta_2(90\%)$	0.523	0.385	-0.034	0.505	-0.284	0.555	-1.021	0.643	-0.732	0.615	1.016	0.419		
		0.455		1.799		2.071**		0.651		0.546		0.964		
$\sum \beta_3(95\%)$	0.541	0.324	3.375	0.036	2.811	0.019	1.291	0.257	1.417	0.292	1.794	0.167		
		2.254**		4.542**		2.110**		1.559*		5.887**		7.450**		
$\sum \beta_4(99\%)$	4.568	0.012	6.084	0.000	3.920	0.017	4.596	0.059	16.554	0.000	14.095	0.000		

\*\*\*, \*\*, \* indicate statistical significance at the level of 0.01, 0.05 and 0.1 respectively

Table 5.30: Quantile regression of platinum vs exchange rate for UK

Platinum	Baur	& Lucey		Quantile Regression								
			10th		25th		50th		75th		90th	
	Coef	t-stat pvalue	Coef	t-stat pvalue	Coef	t-stat pvalue	Coef	t-stat pvalue	Coef	t-stat pvalue	Coef	t-stat pvalue
		45.928**		28.449**		25.320**		34.967**		28.968**		15.540**
$\beta_1$ (hedge)	1.224	0.000	1.523	0.000	1.350	0.000	1.212	0.000	1.177	0.000	1.065	0.000
		17.597**		4.214**		10.837**		15.916**		13.884**		7.168**
$\sum \beta_2(90\%)$	1.212	0.000	1.235	0.000	1.297	0.000	1.229	0.000	1.418	0.000	1.295	0.000
		21.782**		12.102**		13.993**		17.047**		15.236**		9.476**
$\sum \beta_3(95\%)$	1.230	0.000	1.297	0.000	1.211	0.000	1.346	0.000	1.337	0.000	1.526	0.000
		19.188**		2.342**		9.579**		9.663**		23.555**		34.920**
$\sum \beta_4(99\%)$	1.253	0.000	1.161	0.009	1.157	0.000	1.377	0.000	1.792	0.000	1.994	0.000

#### Table 5.33: Quantile regression of platinum vs exchange rate for China

Gold	Baurð	Lucey		Quantile Regression									
			1	10th		25th		50th		75 <sup>th</sup>		90th	
	Coef	t-stat pvalue	Coef	t-stat pvalue	Coef	t-stat pvalue	Coef	t-stat pvalue	Coef	t-stat pvalue	Coef	t-stat pvalue	
		3.039**		-3.714**		0.972		2.043**		-1.292		-1.403	
$\beta_1$ (hedge)	0.235	0.002	-0.288	0.000	0.302	0.330	0.320	0.041	-0.691	0.196	-1.295	0.160	
		-1.562		-4.202		-4.618		-0.889		-1.322		-0.211	
$\sum \beta_2(90\%)$	-0.568	0.940	-4.400	0.999	-2.688	0.999	-0.500	0.813	-0.681	0.906	-0.181	0.583	
		-1.441		-2.718		-3.367		-0.893		-0.973		0.621	
$\sum \beta_3(95\%)$	-0.645	0.925	-3.345	0.996	-2.317	0.999	-0.664	0.814	-0.518	0.834	0.541	0.267	
		6.766**		471.671**		312.046**		235.401**		303.111**		434.325**	
$\sum \beta_4(99\%)$	0.995	0.000	1.028	0.000	1.009	0.000	0.995	0.000	0.978	0.000	0.960	0.000	

\*\*\*, \*\*, \* indicate statistical significance at the level of 0.01, 0.05 and 0.1 respectively

### Conclusion

Study found that the three metals gold, silver and platinum hedge stock market risks of the US. For the UK gold and silver can hedge while platinum holds negative but insignificant hedge coefficient against stock market. The three metals do not appear to act as hedge and safe haven for emerging economy of China. Gold, silver and platinum do not appear to act as hedge for the UK and China while gold and platinum do hedge the US inflation risk. Platinum appears to play the role of safe haven for the UK and the US in high inflation situation. Similarly the three metals can acts as hedge and also as safe haven asset for the UK and China against depreciating local currency.

### Conclusion

The results estimated by quantile regression shows that gold, silver and platinum can hedge in all bearish and bullish conditions of metal market for the UK against high inflation. Its safe haven property is evident by presence of significant coefficients in all the bearish and bullish conditions of metal market under studied against extremely high inflation measured by 90% quantile or higher. For China precious metals can neither hedge nor act as safe haven against stock market risk. Moreover only platinum can hedge against high inflation in the US.

# **THANK YOU**